# What is a tree really?

Patrick Durusau
Society of Biblical Literature
TEI 2003 Nancy, France

#### Descriptive versus Procedural Markup

- Separation of concerns
  - How Text is Processed from
  - How Text is Described
- Allows decisions about processing to be deferred
- Added advantage of portability between processing systems
- Describes the structure of texts

## Separation sounds Great!

- Great Divide Begins! (or does it?)
  - GML/SGML adopts angle bang syntax for descriptive markup
  - Encodes the structures in texts
  - But not how to process or presentation
- On the other hand:
  - Instead of traditional presenation
  - We now have markup trees

### **Are Markup Trees Presentation?**

```
<xml version="1.0"?>
<text>
<verse id="Matt.3.8">
 Bear fruit that befits repentance,
</verse>
<verse="Matt.3.9">
 and do not presume to say to yourselves, 'We have Abraham as our father'; for I tell you, God
  is able from these stones to raise up children of
  Abraham.
</verse>
</text>
```

#### Trees as Presentation

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<text>
<verse id="Matt.3.8">
       <sentence>
      Bear fruit that befits repentance,
</verse>
<verse="Matt.3.9">
  and do not presume to say to yourselves, 'We have Abraham as our father'; for I tell you, God is able from
  these stones to raise up children of Abraham.
</verse>
       </sentence>
</text>
```

#### Which Tree to Follow?

- Traditional XML says either:
  - text/verse, or
  - text/sentence
- But both cannot be present
- Why?
- Predetermined that all markup in a file must be recognized as markup and presented as a well-formed tree

# Choosing A Tree

- Recognize all markup
  - Odd requirement, history of parsing files that are not SGML/XML with selective recognition of markup
  - Can even selectively recognize SGML/XML markup so long as it is already well formed
  - Why limit markup options with the recognize all option?
  - Simplicity of parsing!

# Simplicity of Parsing

- Simplicity harmful to markup!
  - Well-formedness contrary to:
    - Known features of texts
    - Needs of scholars
  - Well-formedness may make sense for documents without DTDs or Schemas
  - But what scholarly encoded document will exist without a DTD or Schema?
  - Markup limited by ease of parsing?

# Simplicity of Parsing II

- Validating SAX based parsers
  - Recognize the GI anyway
  - Order of processing is the problem
  - Fires on any "<"</p>
  - Only to then discover it is not in the DTD or schema
  - What if the ordering were reversed?
  - That is: Build the tree to recognize, then parse for markup that matches?

# Simplicity of Parsing III

- But what of the other "markup?"
- Can you say "string?"
- If markup recognition is conditional:
  - Can impose unlimited layers of markup inline on a text
  - Can search for structures in any tree, and match against strings that are markup in another tree
  - Divorces markup from a particular presentation

### Is Selective Recognition Possible?

- XPath/XQuery
  - Efficient Filtering of XML Documents with XPath Expressions, Chee-Yong Chan, Pascal Felber, Minos Garofalakis, Rajeev Rastogi
  - YFilter: Efficient and Scalable Filtering of XML Documents Yanlei Diao, Peter Fischer, Michael J. Franklin, Raymond To
  - Efficient Filtering of XML Documents for Selective Dissemination of Information, Mehmet Altınel, Michael J. Franklin

### Is Selective Recognition Likely?

- SC34/WG1 Document Schema and Description Languages (includes, RELAX-NG)
- Part 1: Overview of ISO/IEC 19575
  - Path based addressing (role of relationships that are not hierarchical)
  - JITTs (Just-In-Time-Trees) has been suggested as one approach to consider

#### Simplistic Markup or Simplistic Parsing

- The choice is fairly simple:
  - Simplistic markup, or
  - Simplistic parsing
- Latter may have been appropriate, Sun workstations had 128K RAM, 100 MHz processors
- Laptops now routinely have 1 GB RAM, and over 1 GHz processors

### Workarounds or a Solution?

- All of the current options for overlapping markup compensate for simplistic parsing
- Parsing research has advanced but markup parsing has remained static
- Workarounds are not solutions!
- Our texts need a solution
- Our users deserve a solution

### What Can TEI Do?

- Develop compelling use cases for overlapping markup
- Demonstrate the advantages of nonsimplistic parsing for markup (sigh, yes the commercial side of things)
- Press our needs in forums such as SC34 WG3

#### Conclusion

- Simplistic parsing will continue so long as no one makes the case for better parsing of markup
- The "someone" to make the case is the academic markup community
- Why? We should not dumb down our texts for the convenience of avoiding further development of markup parsers!